1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. FALLAT John L. Fallat (SBN #114842) Timothy J. Tomlin (SBN #142294) Mark A. Vaughn (SBN #241228) 68 Mitchell Blvd., Suite 135 San Rafael, CA 94903-2046 Telephone: (415) 457-3773 Facsimile: (415) 457-2667 Email: jfallat@fallat.com Email: ttomlin@fallat.com Email: mvaughn@fallat.com	
9 10	Attorneys for Plaintiff VICTOR M. RIOS	
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	TORTIE AUTORIA	
15	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
16	ROBERT CULLEN,	
17	ROBERT COLLETT,	Case No: 5:2020cv02155
18	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF RELATED CASE
19	}	PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 TO
20	vs.	BE FILED IN CASE NO.
21	ZOOM VIDEO	5:2020ev02155; ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 7-11
22	COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,	
23	Defendant.	
24	}	CLASS ACTION
25		
26	}	
27	J	
28		

NOTICE OF RELATED CASE PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 TO BE FILED IN CASE NO. 5:20-CV-03670; ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. $^{\rm 1}$ 7-11

1

2

4 5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a related case *Victor M. Rios v. Zoom Video Communications, Inc.* Case No. 5:20-cv-03670, was filed on June 2, 2020, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. Filing party believes there are a number of other cases also related to this case, and those case are listed herein.

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 3-12(b) and 7-11 of the United States District Court of the Northern District of California, Victor Rios submits this Administrative Motion to Consider whether Cases Should be Related.

1. Standard under Civil Local Rule 3012

Under Civil Local Rule 3-12(a) an "action is related to another when: (1) The actions concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event; and (2) it appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different judges."

Whenever a party knows or believes that an action may be related to an action which is or was pending in the Northern District, said party "must promptly file in the earliest filed case an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11.

2. Related Cases

The Victor M. Rios v. Zoom Video Communications, Inc. action Case No. 5:20-cv-03670 should be related to Cullen v. Zoom Video Communications, Inc. Case No. 5:2020cv02155. The following actions also appear related and are all assigned to Judge Lucy H. Koh:

- 5:2020cv02170 Taylor v. ZoomVideo Communications, Inc.
- 5:2020cv02353 Drieu v. ZoomVideo Communications, Inc.
- 5:2020cv02376 Johnston v. ZoomVideo Communications, Inc.
- 5:2020cv02396 Brams v. ZoomVideo Communications, Inc.
- 5:2020cv02520 Kondrat v. ZoomVideo Communications, Inc.

NOTICE OF RELATED CASE PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 TO BE FILED IN CASE NO. 5:20-CV-03670; ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 2 7-11

20

23 24

22

25

26

27 28

5:2020cv02170 -Jimenez v. ZoomVideo Communications, Inc.

5:2020cv02592 - Lawton v. ZoomVideo Communications, Inc.

5:2020cv02620 - Hartmann v. ZoomVideo Communications, Inc.

5:2020cv02893 - Simins v. ZoomVideo Communications, Inc.

5:2020cv02939 - Buxbaum v. ZoomVideo Communications, Inc.

5:2020cv03042 - Kirpekar v. ZoomVideo Communications, Inc.

5:2020cv03252 - Saint Paulus Lutheran Church v. ZoomVideo Communications, The Victor M. Rios v. Zoom Video Communications, Inc. action and the apparently related cases all involve the same defendant and concern allegations of similar wrongful acts and occurrences, namely the sharing of personal information with third parties, the failure to safeguard users' personal information, the failure to provide adequate security to avoid breach and infiltration (e.g., "Zoombombing") of users' videoconferences; and the use of unfair, unlawful, and deceptive business practices relating to Zoom's data security. As a result, the cases all require determination of substantially the same questions of fact and law.

Accordingly, it appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or the possibility of conflicting results if the cases proceed before difference judges.

3. Conclusion

As set forth above, the case of Cullen v. Zoom Video Communications, Inc. Case No. 5:2020cv02155 action is related to Victor M. Rios v. Zoom Video Communications, Inc. Case No. 5:20cv-03670 and to the other cases which it appears have been previously related to the Cullen case, or otherwise assigned to Judge Koh based upon their commonality.

DATED: June 2, 2020

TIMOTHY J. TOMLIN Attorney for Plaintiff

NOTICE OF RELATED CASE PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 TO BE FILED IN CASE NO. 5:20-CV-03670; ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R.

1 PROOF OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE 2 3 I declare that: 4 I am employed in Marin County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action or cause; that my business 5 address and place of employment is Law Offices of John L. Fallat, 68 Mitchell Boulevard, Suite 135, San 6 Rafael, CA 94903-2046. I served the attached document entitled "NOTICE OF RELATED CASE PURSUANT 7 TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 TO BE FILED IN CASE NO. 5:2020CV02155; ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO 8 CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 7-11" on the interested 9 parties in said cause: 10 BY MAIL: I placed a copy of said document in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid thereon in the United States mail at Burlingame, California on June 4, 2020 11 BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused a copy of said document to be placed in a sealed envelope to [] 12 be delivered by hand to the office(s) of the addressee(s) on the date below. 13 BY FEDERAL EXPRESS: I caused a copy of said document to be placed in a sealed envelope to be []delivered to Federal Express for overnight courier service to the Office(s) of the addressee(s) on the 14 date below. 15 BY FACSIMILE: I caused a copy of said document to be sent via FACSIMILE to the addressee(s), ſΊ as above, on June 4, 2020 16 17 [X] BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: I caused all of the above-entitled documents to be served through the United States District Court, Northern District of California electronic service list by 18 selecting the individual recipients on the court's website on the date executed below. The file 19 transmission was reported as complete and a copy of the filing receipt page will be maintained with the original documents in our office. 20 21 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing 22 is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 4, 2020 at San Rafael, California. 23 /s/ ROXANNE CULLEN 24 **ROXANNE CULLEN** 25 26 27

28